RUSSIAN GRAVITATIONAL SOCIETY
INSTITUTE OF METROLOGICAL SERVICE
CENTER OF GRAVITATION AND FUNDAMENTAL METROLOGY

RGS-VNIIMS-004-96
hep-th/9612089

arXiv:hep-th/9612089v1 7 Dec 1996

INTERSECTING P-BRANE SOLUTIONS IN MULTIDIMENSIONAL GRAVITY AND M-THEORY

V.D. Ivashchuk[1] **and V.N. Melnikov**[2]

Center for Gravitation and Fundamental Metrology, VNIIMS,
3–1 M. Ulyanovoy Str., Moscow 117313, Russia

Abstract

Multidimensional gravitational model on the manifold $M = M_0 \times \prod_{i=1}^{n} M_i$, where M_i are Einstein spaces ($i \geq 1$), is considered. The action contains $m = 2^n - 1$ dilatonic scalar fields φ^I and m (antisymmetric) forms A^I. When all fields and scale factors of the metric depend (essentially) on the point of M_0 and any A^I is "proportional" to the volume form of submanifold $M_{i_1} \times \ldots \times M_{i_k}$, $1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_k \leq n$, the σ-model representation is obtained. A family of "Majumdar-Papapetrou type" solutions are obtained, when all M_ν are Ricci-flat. A special class of solutions (related to the solution of some Diophantus equation on dimensions of M_ν) is singled out. Some examples of intersecting p-branes (e.g. solution with seven Euclidean 2-branes for $D = 11$ supergravity) are considered.

Submitted to *Gravitation and Cosmology*

Moscow 1996

]

---

[1] e-mail: ivas@cvsi.rc.ac.ru
[2] e-mail: mel@cvsi.rc.ac.ru

1 Introduction

Recently a growth of interest to $D = 11$ sypergravity [1, 2] arouse. This growth was stimulated mainly by a suggestion that there exists a new "fundamental" theory, so-called "M-theory" [4]-[6], which generalizes all known string theories [3] and whose low energy limit is $D = 11$ supergravity. M-theory describes (amongst other things) two supersymmetric extended objects: supermembrane (with two spatial dimensions) and superfivebrane (with five spatial dimensions). There exists also a conjecture about existing of other fundamental 12-dimensional theory, so-called F-theory [7].

Exact solutions, especially so-called p-brane solutions [9]-[33] play a rather important role in investigation of superstrings in various dimensions and its relation to M-theory.

A simplest p-brane solution has the following form [9], [15]-[17]

$$g = H^{\alpha}[\delta_{ab}dx^a \otimes dx^b + H^{\beta}\eta_{mn}dy^m \otimes dy^n], \qquad (1.1)$$

where $m, n = 0, \ldots, p$; α, β are some parameters and $H = H(x)$ is harmonic function. Here $p = 1$ corresponds to string, $p = 2$ to membrane etc. For $p = 0$ in (1.1) we usually get an extremal black hole or multicenter generalization a la Majumdar-Papapetrou [34]. The solution (1.1) occurs in the model with $(1 + p)$-form A "proportional" to the volume form on $\mathbf{R}^{1+p}$.

In this paper we consider the generalization of the solutions (1.1) to multimembrane case for arbitrary dimension, when the action of the model (see (2.1) below) contains metric, set of dilatonic scalar fields φ^I and (antisymmetric) forms A^I, $I \in \Omega$, interacting by exponential dilatonic coupling. Actions of such type effectively occur in various supergravity models [1, 2, 22], when certain classes of pure bosonic solutions are considered. Here we consider the "multidimensional space-time" in the product form $M = M_0 \times \prod_{i=1}^{n} M_i$, where M_0 is "our space" and M_i $(i \geq 1)$ are internal (originally Einstein) spaces. All manifolds M_{ν}, $\nu \geq 0$ are oriented and connected. We say that the submanifold $M_I = M_{i_1} \times \ldots \times M_{i_k}$, $1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_k \leq n$, forms a p-brane if there exists a solution with a non-zero form A^I "proportional" to the volume form of M_I (see (2.17)). We consider the simplest case when scale factors of the metric and all fields depend (essentially) on the point of M_0 , i.e. the isotropic case is considered. The solutions of such type (mainly with flat internal spaces M_i) governed by several harmonics functions are intensively studied in literature (see, for example, [18]-[23]). But, to our knowledge, authors do not use regular (general) schemes for obtaining such solutions. For example, in [19] a lot of interesting overlapping supersymmetric p-brane solutions were obtained using so-called "harmonic function rules" (inspired by supersymmetry arguments).

In this paper we consider a general scheme based on σ-model approach. (The σ-model corresponding to pure gravitational isotropic model with n Einstein internal spaces was studied in [35]-[38]. Thus, here as in ref. [38] we extend our investigations of multidimensional cosmology [43] to gravitational case.) In Sect. 2 we describe the model and obtain its σ-model representation. In Sect. 3 the non-exceptional case $d_0 = \dim M_0 \neq 2$ is considered. In this case the Einstein-Pauli (EP) frame exists [35]-[38]. When all internal spaces M_i are Ricci-flat and cosmological term is zero, the σ-model in EP frame has a rather simple form allowing to obtain certain "Majumdar-Papapetrou (MP) type" solutions (see Proposition 1) and as a consequence to get a family of "MP-type" solutions in the considered model (3.35)-(3.41). The solutions are labelled by sets $\Omega_* \subset \Omega$, where Ω is defined in (2.16). We get restrictions on dilatonic couplings, dimensions of membranes $d(I) = \dim M_I$ and its signature parameters $\varepsilon(I) = \pm 1$ (2.31), $I \in \Omega_*$. In Sect. 4 we present the metric in the form generalizing Tseytlin's "harmonic function rules". We also consider some special classes of solutions: i) in arbitrary dimensions (based on solutions of two Diophantus equations); ii) for $D = 11$ supergravity (e.g. solution with seven Euclidean intersecting 2-branes). We also consider the "multi-block" generalization of the solutions from refs. [32, 33] containing the maximal p-brane (or monopole in dual version).

2 The model

We consider the model governed by the action

$$\begin{aligned} S = {} & \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int_M d^Dz\sqrt{|g|}\{R[g] - 2\Lambda \\ & - \sum_{I\in\Omega}[g^{MN}\partial_M\varphi^I\partial_N\varphi^I \\ & + \frac{1}{n_I!}\exp(2\lambda_{JI}\varphi^J)(F^I)^2_g]\} + S_{GH}, \end{aligned} \qquad (2.1)$$

where $g = g_{MN}dz^M \otimes dz^N$ is the metric, φ^I is dilatonic scalar field,

$$F^I = dA^I = \frac{1}{n_I!}F^I_{M_1\ldots M_{n_I}}dz^{M_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dz^{M_{n_I}} \qquad (2.2)$$

is n_I-form $(n_I \geq 2)$ on D-dimensional manifold M, Λ is a cosmological constant and $\lambda_{JI} \in \mathbf{R}$, $I, J \in \Omega$. In (2.1) we denote $|g| = |\det(g_{MN})|$,

$$(F^I)^2_g = F^I_{M_1\ldots M_{n_I}}F^I_{N_1\ldots N_{n_I}}g^{M_1N_1}\ldots g^{M_{n_I}N_{n_I}}, \qquad (2.3)$$

$I \in \Omega$, and $S_{\rm GH}$ is the standard Gibbons-Hawking boundary term [42]. This term is essential for a quantum treatment of the problem. Here Ω is a non-empty finite set. The action (2.1) with $\Lambda = 0$ and equal n_I was considered recently in [25]. (For supergravity models with different n_I see also [22])

The equations of motion corresponding to (2.1) have the following form

$$R_{MN} - \frac{1}{2} g_{MN} R = T_{MN} - \Lambda g_{MN}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\triangle[g]\varphi^J - \sum_{I \in \Omega} \frac{\lambda_{JI}}{n_I!} \exp(2\lambda_{KI}\varphi^K)(F^I)^2_g = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\nabla_{M_1}[g](\exp(2\lambda_{KI}\varphi^K) F^{I,M_1 \ldots M_{n_I}}) = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

$I, J \in \Omega$. In (2.4)

$$T_{MN} = \sum_{I \in \Omega} [T^{\varphi^I}_{MN} + \exp(2\lambda_{JI}\varphi^J) T^{F^I}_{MN}], \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$T^{\varphi^I}_{MN} = \partial_M \varphi^I \partial_N \varphi^I - \frac{1}{2} g_{MN} \partial_P \varphi^I \partial^P \varphi^I, \tag{2.8}$$

$$T^{F^I}_{MN} = \frac{1}{n_I!}[-\frac{1}{2} g_{MN} (F^I)^2_g + n_I F^I_{M M_2 \ldots M_{n_I}} F_N^{I, M_2 \ldots M_{n_I}}]. \tag{2.9}$$

In (2.5), (2.6) $\triangle[g]$ and $\bigtriangledown[g]$ are Laplace-Beltrami and covariant derivative operators respectively corresponding to g.

Let us consider the manifold

$$M = M_0 \times M_1 \times \ldots \times M_n, \tag{2.10}$$

with the metric

$$g = \mathrm{e}^{2\gamma(x)} g^0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{e}^{2\phi^i(x)} g^i, \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$g^0 = g^0_{\mu\nu}(x) dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu \tag{2.12}$$

is a metric on the manifold M_0 and $g^i = g_{m_i n_i}(y_i) dy_i^{m_i} \otimes dy_i^{n_i}$ is a metric on M_i satisfying the equation

$$R_{m_i n_i}[g^i] = \lambda_i g^i_{m_i n_i}, \tag{2.13}$$

$m_i, n_i = 1, \ldots, d_i$; $\lambda_i = \mathrm{const}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Thus, (M_i, g^i) are Einstein spaces. The functions $\gamma, \phi^i : M_0 \to \mathbf{R}$ are smooth. Here we denote $d_\nu = \mathrm{dim} M_\nu$, $\nu = 0, \ldots, n$.

We claim any manifold M_ν to be oriented and connected, $\nu = 0, \ldots, n$. Then the volume d_i-form

$$\tau_i = \sqrt{|g^i(y_i)|}\ dy_i^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dy_i^{d_i}, \tag{2.14}$$

and signature parameter

$$\varepsilon(i) = \mathrm{sign}(\det(g^i_{m_i n_i})) = \pm 1 \tag{2.15}$$

are correctly defined for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Let Ω from (2.1) be a set of all ordered (non-empty) subsets of $(1, \ldots, n)$, i.e.

$$\Omega = \Omega(n) \equiv \{(1), (2), \ldots, (n), (1,2), \ldots, (1, 2, \ldots, n)\}. \tag{2.16}$$

Clearly, that the number of elements in Ω is $|\Omega| = 2^n - 1$.

For any $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k) \in \Omega$, $i_1 < \ldots < i_k$, we put in (2.2)

$$A^I = \Phi^I \tau_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \tau_{i_k}, \tag{2.17}$$

where functions $\Phi^I : M_0 \to \mathbf{R}$ are smooth, $I \in \Omega$, and τ_i are defined in (2.14). In components relation (2.17) reads

$$A^I_{P_1 \ldots P_{d(I)}}(x, y) = \Phi^I(x) \sqrt{|g^{i_1}(y_{i_1})|} \ldots \sqrt{|g^{i_k}(y_{i_k})|}\ \varepsilon_{P_1 \ldots P_{d(I)}}, \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$d(I) \equiv d_{i_1} + \ldots + d_{i_k} = \sum_{i \in I} d_i \tag{2.19}$$

is dimension of the oriented manifold

$$M_I = M_{i_1} \times \ldots \times M_{i_k}, \tag{2.20}$$

and indices $P_1, \ldots, P_{d(I)}$ correspond to M_I.

It follows from (2.17) that

$$F^I = dA^I = d\Phi^I \wedge \tau_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \tau_{i_k}, \tag{2.21}$$

or, in components,

$$F^I_{\mu P_1 \ldots P_{d(I)}} = -F^I_{P_1 \mu \ldots P_{d(I)}} = \ldots = \partial_\mu \Phi^I \sqrt{|g^{i_1}|} \ldots \sqrt{|g^{i_k}|}\ \varepsilon_{P_1 \ldots P_{d(I)}}. \tag{2.22}$$

It follows from (2.21) that

$$n_I = d(I) + 1, \tag{2.23}$$

$I \in \Omega$.

Remark 1. It is more correct to write in (2.11) $\hat{g}^\alpha$ instead g^α, where $\hat{g}^\alpha = p^*_\alpha g^\alpha$ is the pullback of the metric g^α to the manifold M by the canonical projection: $p_\alpha : M \to M_\alpha$, $\alpha = 0, \ldots, n$. Analogously, we should write $\hat{\Phi}^I$ and $\hat{\tau}_i$ instead Φ^I and τ_i in (2.17) and (2.21) respectively. In what follows we omit all "hats" in order to simplify notations.

For dilatonic scalar fields we put

$$\varphi^I = \varphi^I(x), \tag{2.24}$$

$I \in \Omega$. Thus, we consider Ansatz when all fields are essentially depending on points of M_0.

The nonzero Ricci tensor components for the metric (2.11) are following [38]

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu}[g] = R_{\mu\nu}[g^0] + g^0_{\mu\nu} \Big[-\Delta_0 \gamma + (2 - d_0)(\partial\gamma)^2 \\ - \partial\gamma \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j \partial\phi^j \Big] + (2 - d_0)(\gamma_{;\mu\nu} - \gamma_{,\mu}\gamma_{,\nu}) \\ - \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i (\phi^i_{;\mu\nu} - \phi^i_{,\mu}\gamma_{,\nu} - \phi^i_{,\nu}\gamma_{,\mu} + \phi^i_{,\mu}\phi^i_{,\nu}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{m_i n_i}[g] = R_{m_i n_i}[g^i] - \mathrm{e}^{2\phi^i - 2\gamma} g^i_{m_i n_i} \Big\{ \Delta_0 \phi^i \\ + (\partial\phi^i)[(d_0 - 2)\partial\gamma + \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j \partial\phi^j] \Big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Here $\partial\beta\,\partial\gamma \equiv g^{0\ \mu\nu}\beta_{,\mu}\gamma_{,\nu}$ and Δ_0 is the Laplace-Beltrami operator corresponding to g^0. The scalar curvature for (2.11) is [38]

$$R[g] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{e}^{-2\phi^i} R[g^i] + \mathrm{e}^{-2\gamma}\Bigl\{ R[g^0] - \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i (\partial\phi^i)^2 - (d_0{-}2)(\partial\gamma)^2 - (\partial f)^2 - 2\Delta_0 (f+\gamma)\Bigr\}, \qquad (2.27)$$

where

$$f = f(\gamma,\phi) = (d_0-2)\gamma + \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j \phi^j. \qquad (2.28)$$

Using (2.25) and (2.26), it is not difficult to verify that the field equations (2.4)-(2.6) for the field configurations from (2.11), (2.21) and (2.24) may be obtained as the equations of motion corresponding to the action

$$\begin{aligned} S_\sigma &= S_\sigma[g^0,\gamma,\phi,\varphi,\Phi] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\kappa_0^2}\int_{M_0} d^{d_0}x \sqrt{|g^0|}\, \mathrm{e}^{f(\gamma,\phi)} \Bigl\{ R[g^0] \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i(\partial\phi^i)^2 - (d_0-2)(\partial\gamma)^2 + (\partial f)\partial(f+2\gamma) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i d_i\, \mathrm{e}^{-2\phi^i+2\gamma} - 2\Lambda\, \mathrm{e}^{2\gamma} - \mathcal{L}\Bigr\}, \end{aligned} \qquad (2.29)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}[g^0,\phi,\varphi,\Phi] &= \sum_{I\in\Omega} [(\partial\varphi^I)^2 \\ &+ \varepsilon(I)\exp(2\lambda_{JI}\varphi^J - 2\sum_{i\in I} d_i\phi^i)(\partial\Phi^I)^2], \end{aligned} \qquad (2.30)$$

$|g^0| = |\det(g^0_{\mu\nu})|$ and similar notations are applied to the metrics g^i, $i=1,\dots,n$. In (2.30)

$$\varepsilon(I) \equiv \varepsilon(i_1)\times\ldots\times\varepsilon(i_k) = \pm 1 \qquad (2.31)$$

for $I=(i_1,\dots,i_k)\in\Omega$ $(i_1<\ldots<i_k)$.

For finite internal space volumes (e.g. compact M_i)

$$V_i = \int_{M_i} d^{d_i}y_i \sqrt{|g^i|} < +\infty, \qquad (2.32)$$

the action (2.29) (with $\mathcal{L}$ from (2.30)) coincides with the action (2.1), i.e.

$$S_\sigma[g^0,\gamma,\phi,\varphi,\Phi] = S[g(g^0,\gamma,\phi),\varphi,F(\Phi)], \qquad (2.33)$$

where $g = g(g^0,\gamma,\phi)$ and $F=F(\Phi)$ are defined by the relations (2.11) and (2.21) respectively and

$$\kappa^2 = \kappa_0^2 \prod_{i=1}^{n} V_i. \qquad (2.34)$$

This may be readily verified using the following relation for the scalar curvature (2.27) [38]:

$$R[g] {=} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{e}^{-2\phi^i} R[g^i] + \mathrm{e}^{-2\gamma}\Bigl\{ R[g^0] - \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i(\partial\phi^i)^2 - (d_0-2)(\partial\gamma)^2 + (\partial f)\partial(f+2\gamma) + R_B\Bigr\}, \qquad (2.35)$$

where

$$R_B = (1/\sqrt{|g^0|})\, \mathrm{e}^{-f}\partial_\mu[-2\,\mathrm{e}^f\sqrt{|g^0|}g^{0\ \mu\nu}\partial_\nu(f+\gamma)] \qquad (2.36)$$

gives rise to the Gibbons-Hawking boundary term

$$S_{\rm GH} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int_M d^D z\sqrt{|g|}\{-\,\mathrm{e}^{-2\gamma}R_B\}. \qquad (2.37)$$

We note that the second term in (2.30) appears due to the following relation (see (2.22))

$$\frac{1}{n_I!}(F^I)^2_g = \varepsilon(I)\exp(-2\gamma - 2\sum_{i\in I} d_i\phi^i)(\partial\Phi^I)^2. \qquad (2.38)$$

3 Exact solutions

Let us consider the case $d_0 \neq 2$. In order to simplify the action (2.29), we use, as in ref. [38], for $d_0\neq 2$ the gauge

$$\gamma = \gamma_0(\phi) = \frac{1}{2-d_0}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i\phi^i. \qquad (3.1)$$

It means that $f = f(\gamma_0,\phi) = 0$, or the conformal Einstein-Pauli frame is used. (This frame does not exist for $d_0=2$.) For the cosmological case $d_0=1$, $g^0 = -dt\otimes dt$ and (3.1) corresponds to the harmonic-time gauge [39, 40]. ¿From (2.29), (2.30), (3.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &S_0[g^0,\phi,\varphi,\Phi] = S_\sigma[g^0,\gamma_0(\phi),\phi,\varphi,\Phi] = \\ &\frac{1}{2\kappa_0^2}\int_{M_0} d^{d_0}x\sqrt{|g^0|}\Bigl\{R[g^0] \\ &- G_{ij}g^{0\ \mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi^i\partial_\nu\phi^j - 2V(\phi) - \sum_{I\in\Omega}\Bigl[(\partial\varphi^I)^2 \\ &+ \varepsilon(I)\exp(2\lambda_{JI}\varphi^J - 2\sum_{i\in I} d_i\phi^i)(\partial\Phi^I)^2\Bigr]\Bigr\}, \end{aligned} \qquad (3.2)$$

where

$$G_{ij} = d_i\delta_{ij} + \frac{d_i d_j}{d_0-2} \qquad (3.3)$$

are the components of the (”pure gravitational”) ”midisuperspace” metric on $\mathbf{R}^n$ [38] (or gravitational part of target space metric), $i,j=1,\dots,n$, and

$$V = V(\phi) = \Lambda\,\mathrm{e}^{2\gamma_0(\phi)} - \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i d_i\,\mathrm{e}^{-2\phi^i+2\gamma_0(\phi)} \qquad (3.4)$$

is the potential. Thus, we are led to the action of a self-gravitating σ model on M_0 with a $(n+2|\Omega|)$-dimensional target space ($|\Omega| = 2^n-1$) and a self-interaction described by the potential (3.4).

3.1. σ-model with zero potential.

Now we consider the case $\lambda_i = \Lambda = 0$, i.e. all spaces (M_i, g^i) are Ricci-flat, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and cosmological constant is zero. In this case the potential (3.4) is trivial $V = 0$ and we are led to the σ-model with the following action.

$$S_\sigma = \int_{M_0} d^{d_0}x \sqrt{|g^0|} \Big\{ R[g^0] - \hat{G}_{AB} \partial\sigma^A \partial\sigma^B - \sum_{I \in \Omega} \varepsilon(I) \exp(2L_{AI}\sigma^A)(\partial\Phi^I)^2 \Big\}, \qquad (3.5)$$

where we put $2\kappa_0^2 = 1$. In (3.5) $(\sigma^A) = (\phi^j, \varphi^J) \in \mathbf{R}^N$, where $N = n + m$, $m = |\Omega| = 2^n - 1$,

$$\hat{G} = \left(\hat{G}_{AB}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} G_{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{IJ} \end{pmatrix} \qquad (3.6)$$

is non-degenerate (block-diagonal) $N \times N$-matrix and

$$L = (L_{AI}) = \begin{pmatrix} l_{jI} \\ \lambda_{JI} \end{pmatrix} \qquad (3.7)$$

is $N \times m$-matrix with

$$l_{jI} = -\sum_{i \in I} d_i \delta^i_j, \qquad (3.8)$$

$i, j = 1, \dots, n$, $I, J \in \Omega$.

The equations of motion corresponding to the σ-model action (3.5) are following

$$R_{\mu\nu}[g^0] = \hat{G}_{AB} \partial_\mu\sigma^A \partial_\nu\sigma^B + \sum_{I \in \Omega} \varepsilon(I) \exp(2L_{AI}\sigma^A) \partial_\mu\Phi^I \partial_\nu\Phi^I, \qquad (3.9)$$

$$\hat{G}_{AB} \triangle[g^0]\sigma^B - \sum_{I \in \Omega} \varepsilon(I) L_{AI} \exp(2L_{AI}\sigma^A)(\partial\Phi^I)^2 = 0, \qquad (3.10)$$

$$\partial_\mu \left(\sqrt{|g^0|} g^{0\mu\nu} \exp(2L_{AI}\sigma^A) \partial_\nu\Phi^I \right) = 0, \qquad (3.11)$$

$A = 1, \dots, N$; $I \in \Omega$.

Now we present a special class of solutions to field equations (3.9)-(3.11) (of Majumdar-Papapetrou type).

Proposition 1. Let $\Omega_* \subset \Omega$ be a non-empty set of indices such that there exist a set of real non-zero numbers $\nu_I, I \in \Omega_*$ ($\nu_I \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$) satisfying the relations

$$(L^T \hat{G}^{-1} L)_{IJ} = -\varepsilon(I)(\nu_I)^{-2} \delta_{IJ}, \qquad (3.12)$$

$I, J \in \Omega_*$. Then the following field configuration

$$R_{\mu\nu}[g^0] = 0, \qquad (3.13)$$

$$\sigma^A = \sum_{I \in \Omega_*} \alpha^A_I \ln H_I + \sigma^A_0, \qquad (3.14)$$

$$\Phi^I = \frac{\nu_I \exp(-L_{AI}\sigma^A_0)}{H_I}, \quad I \in \Omega_*, \qquad (3.15)$$

$$\Phi^{\bar{I}} = \bar{C}_{\bar{I}} = \text{const}, \qquad \bar{I} \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_* \qquad (3.16)$$

$\sigma^A_0 = \text{const}$, $A = 1, \dots, N$, satisfies the field equations (3.9)-(3.11) if

$$\alpha^A_I = -\hat{G}^{AB} L_{BI} \varepsilon(I)(\nu_I)^2, \qquad (3.17)$$

($A = 1, \dots, N$; $I \in \Omega_*$) ν_I satisfy (3.12) and functions $H_I = H_I(x) > 0$ are harmonic, i.e.

$$\triangle[g^0] H_I = 0, \qquad (3.18)$$

$I \in \Omega_*$.

In (3.17) we use the notation $(\hat{G}^{AB}) = (\hat{G}_{AB})^{-1}$. The Proposition 1 may by proved immediately by a substitution of (3.12)-(3.18) into the equations of motion (3.9)-(3.11).

Note that the relation (3.12) may be written in the following form

$$(L_I, L_J) = -\varepsilon(I)(\nu_I)^{-2} \delta_{IJ}, \qquad (3.19)$$

$I, J \in \Omega_*$, where

$$L_I = (L_{AI}) \in \mathbf{R}^N \qquad (3.20)$$

and

$$(X, Y) \equiv X_A \hat{G}^{AB} X_B \qquad (3.21)$$

is non-degenerate (real-valued) quadratic form. Thus, due to (3.19) the set of vectors $(L_I,\ I \in \Omega_*)$ is orthogonal one and any (L_I, L_I) have the opposite sign to $\varepsilon(I)$, $I \in \Omega_*$. Clearly, if quadratic form (3.21) is positively definite (for our model this takes place for $d_0 > 2$), then $\varepsilon(I) < 0$ for all $I \in \Omega_*$.

Now, we apply the Proposition 1 to the considered here model with Ricci-flat spaces (M_i, g^i), $i = 1, \dots, n$, and zero cosmological constant. From (3.6), (3.7) and (3.21) we get

$$(L_I, L_J) = \langle l_I, l_J \rangle_* + \vec{\lambda}_I \vec{\lambda}_J, \qquad (3.22)$$

$I, J \in \Omega$, where vectors

$$l_I = (l_{jI}) \in \mathbf{R}^n \qquad (3.23)$$

are defined in (3.8) and

$$\vec{\lambda}_I = (\lambda_{JI}) \in \mathbf{R}^m, \qquad (3.24)$$

$I \in \Omega$ ($m = |\Omega|$). In (3.22)

$$\langle u, v \rangle_* \equiv u_i G^{ij} v_j \qquad (3.25)$$

is a quadratic form on $\mathbf{R}^n$. Here, as in [38],

$$G^{ij} = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{d_i} + \frac{1}{2 - D} \qquad (3.26)$$

are components of the matrix inverse to the matrix (G_{ij}) in (3.3).

The calculation gives us the relation

$$\langle l_I, l_J \rangle_* = d(I \cap J) + \frac{d(I)d(J)}{2 - D}, \qquad (3.27)$$

$I, J \in \Omega$. Here, like in relation $i \in I$, we identify the ordered set $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$, $i_1 < \ldots < i_k$, with the corresponding set $\{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}$. In (3.27) $d(\emptyset) = 0$.

Due to (3.19), (3.22) and (3.27) the relation (3.12) reads

$$d(I \cap J) + \frac{d(I)d(J)}{2-D} + \vec{\lambda}_I \vec{\lambda}_J = -\varepsilon(I)(\nu_I)^{-2}\delta_{IJ} \tag{3.28}$$

$I, J \in \Omega_*$.

For coefficients α_I^A from (3.17) we get

$$\alpha_I^i = \left(\sum_{j \in I} \delta_j^i + \frac{d(I)}{2-D}\right)\varepsilon(I)(\nu_I)^2, \tag{3.29}$$

$$\alpha_I^J = -\lambda_{JI}\varepsilon(I)(\nu_I)^2, \tag{3.30}$$

$I \in \Omega_*$, $J \in \Omega$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Relations (3.14) ($(\sigma^A) = (\phi^j, \varphi^J)$) read

$$\phi^i = \sum_{I \in \Omega_*} \alpha_I^i \ln H_I + \phi_0^i, \tag{3.31}$$

$$\varphi^J = \sum_{I \in \Omega_*} \alpha_I^J \ln H_I + \varphi_0^J, \tag{3.32}$$

$J \in \Omega$; $i = 1, \ldots, n$. These relations imply for γ from (3.1)

$$\gamma = \sum_{I \in \Omega_*} \alpha_I^0 \ln H_I + \gamma_0(\phi_0), \tag{3.33}$$

where

$$\alpha_I^0 = \frac{d(I)}{2-D}\varepsilon(I)(\nu_I)^2, \tag{3.34}$$

$I \in \Omega_*$.

3.2. The solution.

Thus we obtained the following solutions to equations of motion (2.4)-(2.6) with $\Lambda = 0$ defined on the manifold (2.10):

$$g = c_0^2\left(\prod_{I \in \Omega_*} H_I^{2\alpha_I^0}\right)g^0 + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2\left(\prod_{I \in \Omega_*} H_I^{2\alpha_I^i}\right)g^i \tag{3.35}$$

$$\mathrm{Ric}[g^0] = \mathrm{Ric}[g^1] = \ldots = \mathrm{Ric}[g^n] = 0, \tag{3.36}$$

$$\varphi^J = -\sum_{I \in \Omega_*} \lambda_{JI}\varepsilon(I)(\nu_I)^2 \ln H_I + \varphi_0^J, \tag{3.37}$$

$$A^I = \frac{\nu_I}{D_I H_I}\tau_I, \ I \in \Omega_*, \tag{3.38}$$

$$A^{\bar{I}} = \bar{C}_{\bar{I}}\tau_{\bar{I}}, \qquad \bar{I} \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_* \tag{3.39}$$

where $c_0, c_i, D_I > 0$, φ_0^J, $\bar{C}_{\bar{I}}$ are constants satisfying

$$c_0^{2-d_0} = \prod_{i=1}^n c_i^{d_i}, \tag{3.40}$$

$$D_I = \prod_{i \in I} c_i^{-d_i} \exp(\lambda_{JI}\varphi_0^J), \tag{3.41}$$

$\tau_I = \tau_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \tau_{i_k}$, for $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$, τ_i are defined in (2.14), parameters $\nu_I \neq 0$, $\vec{\lambda}_I = (\lambda_{JI})$ and $\varepsilon(I) = \pm 1$ (see (2.31)) satisfy the relation (3.28), parameters α_I^i, α_I^0 are defined in (3.29), (3.34), and functions $H_I = H_I(x) > 0$, are harmonic on (M_0, g^0), i.e. $\triangle[g^0]H_I = 0$, $I \in \Omega_*$. In (3.36) $\mathrm{Ric}[g^\nu]$ is Ricci-tensor corresponding to g^ν.

$d_0 = 2$ **case**. We note that, although the presented here solution was obtained for $d_0 \neq 2$, it is valid also for $d_0 = 2$. It may be verified by a straightforward substitution of presented above relations into equations of motions or using the σ-model representation (2.29) for $d_0 = 2$.

Due to (3.37)

$$\exp(2\vec{\lambda}_{I'}(\vec{\varphi} - \vec{\varphi}_0)) = \prod_{I \in \Omega_*} H_I^{-2\varepsilon(I)\nu_I^2 \vec{\lambda}_{I'}\vec{\lambda}_I}, \tag{3.42}$$

$I' \in \Omega$, where $\vec{\varphi} = (\varphi^I)$.

Proposition 2. For $d_0 \geq 2$ eqs. (3.28) imply

$$\varepsilon(I) = -1 \tag{3.43}$$

for all $I \in \Omega_*$.

Proof. Let us suppose that $\varepsilon(I) = 1$ for some $I \in \Omega_*$. Then due to (3.28)

$$d(I) + \frac{(d(I))^2}{2-D} = \frac{d(I)}{D-2}(D - 2 - d(I)) < 0 \tag{3.44}$$

or, equivalently,

$$D - 2 - d(I) = d_0 - 2 + d(I_0) - d(I) < 0, \tag{3.45}$$

where here and below

$$I_0 \equiv \{1, \ldots, n\}, \tag{3.46}$$

is the maximal element in Ω. But due to $d(I_0) - d(I) \geq 0$ and $d_0 \geq 2$, (3.45) is never satisfied. This proves the proposition.

Remark 2. It follows from (3.28) and (3.45) that $\varepsilon(I) = 1$ may take place only if: $d_0 = 1$, $I = I_0$ and $\vec{\lambda}_I^2 < (D-1)/(D-2)$.

Thus, it follows from Proposition 1 and Remark 2 that the case of negative $\varepsilon(I)$ is rather general one.

4 Special solutions

In this section we consider the solutions with $\varepsilon(I) = -1$ for all $I \in \Omega_*$. From (3.29), (3.34) and (3.35) we get in this case

$$g = \left(\prod_{I \in \Omega_*} H_I^{-2d(I)\nu_I^2}\right)^{1/(2-D)} \times \times \left\{g^0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\prod_{I \in \Omega_*, \ I \ni i} H_I^{-2\nu_I^2}\right)g^i\right\}, \tag{4.1}$$

Here we put for simplicity $c_0 = c_i = 1$ in (3.35). (As usual $\prod_{\emptyset} \dots \equiv 1$). Relations (3.28) read

$$(\nu_I)^{-2} = d(I) + \frac{(d(I))^2}{2-D} + \vec{\lambda}_I^2, \qquad (4.2)$$

$$d(I \cap J) + \frac{d(I)d(J)}{2-D} + \vec{\lambda}_I \vec{\lambda}_J = 0, \quad I \neq J, \qquad (4.3)$$

$I, J \in \Omega_*$.

4.1. The case of orthogonal $\vec{\lambda}_I$

.

Here we consider the case, when

$$\vec{\lambda}_I \vec{\lambda}_J = 0, \qquad I \neq J, \qquad (4.4)$$

$I, J \in \Omega_*$. ¿From (4.3) we get

$$d(I \cap J) = \frac{d(I)d(J)}{D-2}, \qquad I \neq J, \qquad (4.5)$$

$I, J \in \Omega_*$.

Here an interesting problem arises: for given n and $d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbf{N}$ to find $\Omega_* \subset \Omega$ such that (4.5) is satisfied.

Remark 3. It follows from (4.5) that $I \cap J \neq \emptyset$ for all $I, J \in \Omega_*$, i.e. any two membranes are intersecting. In $D = \infty$ case, when all sets are finite, we obtain $I \cap J = \emptyset$, $I \neq J$, $I, J \in \Omega_*$ (membranes are non-intersecting).

Here we consider a simple configuration for $n \geq 3$:

$$d_1 = \dots = d_{n-1} = d, \qquad (4.6)$$

$$\Omega_* = \{(1,n), \dots, (n-1,n)\}, \qquad (4.7)$$

$$\varepsilon(1) = \dots = \varepsilon(n-1) = -\varepsilon(n). \qquad (4.8)$$

(The condition (3.43) is satisfied in this case. Then (4.5) reads

$$d_n = \frac{(d + d_n)^2}{D-2}. \qquad (4.9)$$

This equation with the relation for total dimension

$$D = d_0 + (n-1)d + d_n \qquad (4.10)$$

form a set of Diophantus equations.

Proposition 3. The set of Diophantus equations (4.9) and (4.10) has a solution if and only if in prime number decomposition of $D-2$:

$$D - 2 = p_1^{k_1} \dots p_l^{k_l}, \qquad (4.11)$$

there exists a power $k_i \geq 2$ (for some $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$).

Proof. Necessity. Let us suppose an opposite: all $k_i = 1$. Then $(d+d_n)^2$ and hence $d+d_n$ is divided by any p_i, $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ or, equivalently,

$$d+d_n = r p_1 \dots p_l = r(D-2) \to d_n = r^2(D-2), (4.12)$$

$r \in \mathbf{N}$. Clearly, that (4.12) is impossible.

Sufficiency. Here we present the solution of eqs. (4.9), (4.10). Let

$$\omega_2 = \omega_2(D-2) \equiv \{i \in \{1, \dots, l\} | k_i \geq 2\} \qquad (4.13)$$

($\omega_2 \neq \emptyset$). We denote

$$^s \equiv \prod_{\alpha \in \omega} p_\alpha^{s_\alpha}, \qquad (4.14)$$

where $\omega \subset \omega_2$ and powers s_α satisfy to relations

$$1 \leq s_\alpha \leq \left[\frac{k_\alpha}{2}\right], \qquad (4.15)$$

$\alpha \in \omega$ ($[x]$ is integer part of x). Then, the dimensions

$$d_n = (D-2)/(p^s)^2, \qquad (4.16)$$

$$d = d_n(p^s - 1), \qquad (4.17)$$

$$d_0 - 2 = d(p^s - n + 2) \qquad (4.18)$$

($3 \leq n \leq p^s + 2$ for $d_0 \geq 2$) satisfy eqs. (4.9), (4.10). The proposition is proved.

The dimensions allowed by Proposition 3 are

$$D = 6, 10, 11, 14, 18, 20, 22, 26, 27, \dots. \qquad (4.19)$$

The solution (4.1) for dimensions from (4.16)-(4.18) has the following form

$$g = H^{1/p^s}\{g^0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} H_i^{-2\nu_i^2} g^i + H^{-1} g^n\}, \qquad (4.20)$$

where $H_i = H_{(i,n)}$, $\nu_i = \nu_{(i,n)}$,

$$H = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} H_i^{2nu_i^2}, \qquad \nu_i^2 = (d + \vec{\lambda}_i^2)^{-1} \qquad (4.21)$$

and $\vec{\lambda}_i = \vec{\lambda}_{(i,n)}$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

Now we consider few examples.

D = 6. From (4.16)-(4.18) we get

$$p^s = 2, \quad d = d_n = 1, \; d_0 = 6 - n, \qquad (4.22)$$

$n = 3, 4$.

In this case all $d(I) = d + d_n = 2$, $I \in \Omega_*$, and we have $(n-1)$ 3-forms generating $(n-1)$ intersecting membranes. The case $d_0 = 3, n = 3$, $g^0 = dx^1 \otimes dx^1 + dx^2 \otimes dx^2 + dx^3 \otimes dx^3$, $g^3 = -dt \otimes dt$ is of special interest for "physical applications" (x^i are space coordinates, and t is time).

D = 10. We get

$$p^s = 2, \quad d = d_n = 2, \; d_0 = 10 - 2n, \qquad (4.23)$$

$n = 3, 4, 5$.

D = 11. In this case

$$p^s = 3, \; d = 2, \; d_n = 1, \; d_0 = 12 - 2n, \qquad (4.24)$$

$n = 3, 4, 5$. For $\vec{\lambda}_i = 0$ we obtain from (4.20) and (4.21)

$$g = H^{1/3}\{g^0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} H_i^{-1} g^i + H^{-1} g^n\}, \qquad (4.25)$$

where $H = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} H_i$. This solution with $g^n = -dt \otimes dt$ and flat Euclidean metrics $g^0, \dots, g^{n-1}$ was considered in [19] ($n = 3, 4$), [20] ($n = 2, 3, 4, 5$) and [23] ($n = 5$).

4.2. Systems with maximal element

Let us consider another example

$$\Omega_* = \{(1), \dots, (n_1), I_0\}, \qquad (4.26)$$

where $I_0 = \{1, \dots, n\}$ is maximal element and

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 = &\quad n - 1, \qquad n = 2k, \\ = &\quad n, \qquad\quad n = 2k - 1, \end{aligned} \qquad (4.27)$$

$k \in \mathbf{N}$. We put

$$\varepsilon(1) = \ldots = \varepsilon(n_1) = -1 \qquad (4.28)$$

and $\varepsilon(n) = 1$ if $n = 2k$. In this case all $\varepsilon(I) = -1$, $I \in \Omega_*$. For parameters $\nu_i = \nu_{(i)}$, $\nu_0 = \nu_{I_0}$, $\vec{\lambda}_i = \vec{\lambda}_{(i)}$, $\vec{\lambda}_0 = \vec{\lambda}_{I_0}$, we have from (4.2)

$$(\nu_i)^{-2} = d_i + \frac{d_i^2}{2 - D} + \vec{\lambda}_i^2, \qquad (4.29)$$

$$(\nu_0)^{-2} = \frac{(D - d_0)(d_0 - 2)}{D - 2} + \vec{\lambda}_0^2, \qquad (4.30)$$

$i = 1, \dots, n_1$. The constraints on $\vec{\lambda}_0$, $\vec{\lambda}_i$ read (see (4.3))

$$\frac{d_i d_j}{2 - D} + \vec{\lambda}_i \vec{\lambda}_j = 0, \quad i \neq j, \qquad (4.31)$$

$$\frac{d_i(d_0 - 2)}{D - 2} + \vec{\lambda}_0 \vec{\lambda}_i = 0, \qquad (4.32)$$

$i, j = 1, \dots, n_1$.

¿From (4.1) we get the solution for the metric

$$\begin{aligned} g = H^{1/(2-D)}\{g^0 + H_0^{-2\nu_0^2} \times \\ \times \quad [\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} H_i^{-2\nu_i^2} g^i + (1 - \delta_n^{n_1}) g^n]\}, \end{aligned} \qquad (4.33)$$

where

$$H = H_0^{-2(D-d_0)\nu_0^2} \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} H_i^{-2d_i\nu_i^2}. \qquad (4.34)$$

Here $H_i = H_{(i)}$, $H_0 = H_{I_0}$, $i = 1, \dots, n_1$.

The solution (4.33) with $n = 2$ (and one scalar field) was considered recently in [33] (see also [32] for special parameters). Some special cases of the $n = 2$ solution were considered also in [14] ($D = 4$), [28, 26, 29] ($D = 10, d_0 = 2$).

We also note, that in ref. [41] the solution (4.33) with $n = 2$, $d_1 = 1$, $H_0 = 1$, $g^1 = -dt \otimes dt$ and flat Euclidean metric g^0 was generalized to the case of non-zero cosmological constant Λ. The solution [41] for certain couplings describes a collection of multi-dimensional extremal dilatonic black holes "living" in expanding Universe (in the presence of Ricci-flat internal space).

4.3. Dual representation. Monopole

Any F^I-term in the action (2.1) may be presented in the following form

$$\exp(2\vec{\lambda}_I \vec{\varphi})(F^I)^2 = \exp(-2\vec{\lambda}_I \vec{\varphi})(\star F^I)^2 \varepsilon_g, \qquad (4.35)$$

where

$$\star F^I \equiv \exp(2\vec{\lambda}_I \vec{\varphi})(* F^I), \qquad (4.36)$$

$*$ is Hodge operator corresponding to the metric g and $\varepsilon_g = \mathrm{sign}(\det(g_{MN})) = \pm 1$. The equations of motion corresponding to F^I read as Bianchi identities for $\star F^I$ and vice versa

$$d(\exp(2\vec{\lambda}_I \vec{\varphi}) * F^I) = 0 \Leftrightarrow d(\star F^I) = 0, \qquad (4.37)$$

$$d(\exp(-2\vec{\lambda}_I \vec{\varphi}) * (\star F^I)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow dF^I = 0, \qquad (4.38)$$

(the representation $\star F^I = d\bar{A}^I$ exists at least locally) $I \in \Omega_*$.

The term $\star F^{I_0}$ ($I_0 = \{1, \dots, n\}$) is the form of rank $d_0 - 1$ and usually is interpreted as monopole. The calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} (\star F^{I_0})_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{d_0 - 1}} = \exp(2\vec{\lambda}_{I_0} \vec{\varphi} + 2(d_0 - 2)\gamma)\varepsilon(I_0) \times \\ \times \sqrt{|g^0|} \varepsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{d_0}} . \bigtriangledown^{\mu_{d_0}} [g^0] \Phi^{I_0} \end{aligned} \qquad (4.39)$$

(see relations (3.33) and (3.42)).

4.4. $D = 11$ supergravity

Let us consider the case: $D = 11$, $\vec{\lambda}_I = 0$, $I \in \Omega$. From (4.2), (4.3) we have the following possibilities

$$\{d(I), d(J)\} = \{3, 3\}, \{3, 6\}, \{6, 6\} \qquad (4.40)$$

if $d(I \cap J) = 1, 2, 4$ respectively and $2\nu_I^2 = 1$, $I, J \in \Omega_*$. Using the rules (4.40) we may list all possible sets Ω_*, or collections of overlapping 2-branes and 5-branes [19, 18, 20]. In this case the relation for the metric (4.1) is nothing more than Tseytlin's "harmonic function rule" [19] obtained from supersymmetry arguments.

The 4-form

$$\mathcal{F}_4 = \sum_{I \in \Omega_*,\ d(I)=3} F^I + \sum_{I \in \Omega_*,\ d(I)=6} * F^I, \qquad (4.41)$$

satisfies the field equations of $D = 11$ supergravity

$$d * \mathcal{F}_4 = \mathcal{F}_4 \wedge \mathcal{F}_4 \qquad (4.42)$$

due to relation $\mathcal{F}_4 \wedge \mathcal{F}_4 = 0$, equations of motion and Bianchi identities for F^I, $I \in \Omega_*$.

We note, that all solutions in $D = 11$ supergravity with overlapping 2- and 5-branes ($d(I) = 3, 6$), considered in literature (see [19, 18, 20] and refs. therein), may be readily incorporated into considered scheme. For example, three 5-brane solution [19] corresponds to $n = 4$, $d_0 = 3$, $d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = d_4 = 2$, $\varepsilon(1) = \varepsilon(2) = \varepsilon(3) = -\varepsilon(4) = 1$, $\Omega_* = \{(2,3,4), (1,3,4), (1,2,4)\}$.

Here we present an interesting special solution of $D = 11$ supergravity

$$g = \left(\prod_{I \in \Omega_*} H_I \right)^{1/3} \times \\ \times \left\{ g^0 - \sum_{i=1}^{7} \left(\prod_{I \in \Omega_*,\ I \ni i} H_I^{-1} \right) dy^i \otimes dy^i \right\}, \qquad (4.43)$$

defined on the manifold $M_0 \times \mathbf{R}^7$. In (4.43) (M_0, g^0) is Ricci-flat 4-dimensional manifold of signature $(+,-,-,-)$ and

$$\Omega_* = \{(1,3,5), (1,2,6), (2,3,4), \\ (1,4,7), (2,5,7), (3,6,7), (4,5,6)\} \qquad (4.44)$$

(Clearly that the condition (3.43) is satisfied in this case.) This solution describes seven intersecting Euclidean membranes.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to K.A. Bronnikov, for useful discussions.

This work was supported in part by the Russian State Committee for Science and Technology and Russian Fund for Basic Research.

References

[1] E. Cremmer, B.Julia, and J. Scherk, *Phys. Lett.* **B76**, 409 (1978).

[2] A. Salam and E. Sezgin, eds., "Supergravities in Diverse Dimensions", reprints in 2 vols., World Scientific (1989).

[3] M.B. Green, J.H. Schwarz, and E. Witten, "Superstring Theory" in 2 vols. (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1987).

[4] C. Hull and P. Townsend, "Unity of Superstring Dualities", *Nucl. Phys.* **B 438**, 109 (1995), *Preprint* hep-th/9410167;
P.K. Townsend, *Phys. Lett.* **B 350**, 184 (1995), *Preprint* hep-th/9501068;
E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 443**, 85 (1995), *Preprint* hep-th/9503124; P. Horava and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 460**, 506 (1996), *Preprint* hep-th/9510209; *Preprint* hep-th/9603142;
M.J. Duff, J.T. Liu, and R. Minasian, "Eleven-dimensional Origin of String-String Duality: a One-Loop Test", *Preprint* hep-th/ 9506126.

[5] J.M. Schwarz, "Lectures on Superstring and M-theory Dualities", *Preprint* ICTP, hep-th/9607201;

[6] M.J. Duff, "M-theory (the Theory Formerly Known as Strings)", *Preprint* CTP-TAMU-33/96, hep-th/9608117;

[7] C. Vafa, "Evidence for F-Theory", *Preprint* hep-th/9602022.

[8] J. Polchinski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 4724 (1995), hep-th/9510017; J. Polchinski, S. Chaudhuri, and C.V. Johnson, "Notes on D-branes", *Preprint* hep-th/9602052.

[9] A. Dabholkar, G. Gibbons, J.A. Harvey, and F. Ruiz Ruiz, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 340**, 33 (1990);

[10] C.G. Callan, J.A. Harvey and A. Strominger, *Nucl. Phys.* **359** (1991) 611; *Nucl. Phys.* **B 367**, 60 (1991).

[11] M.J. Duff and K.S. Stelle, Phys. Lett. **B 253**, 113 (1991).

[12] G.T. Horowitz and A. Strominger, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 360**, 197 (1991).

[13] R. Güven, Phys. Lett. **B 276**, 49 (1992). *Phys. Lett.* **B 212**, 277 (1988).

[14] R. Kallosh, A. Linde, T. Ortin, A. Peet and A. van Proeyen, *Phys. Rev.* **D 46**, 5278 (1992).

[15] H. Lü, C.N. Pope, E. Sezgin and K. Stelle, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 456**, 669 (1995).

[16] M.J. Duff, R.R. Khuri and J.X. Lu, *Phys. Rep.* **259**, 213 (1995).

[17] G.W. Gibbons, G.T. Horowitz and P.K. Townsend, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **12**, 297 (1995); *Preprint* hep-th/9410073

[18] G. Papadopoulos and P.K. Townsend, "Intersecting M-branes", *Preprint* hep-th/9603087;

[19] A.A. Tseytlin, "Harmonic Superpositions of M-branes", *Preprint* hep-th/9604035; *Nucl. Phys.* **475** (1996) 149.

[20] J.P. Gauntlett, D.A. Kastor, and J. Traschen, "Overlapping Branes in M-Theory", *Preprint* hep-th/9604179.

[21] I.R. Klebanov and A.A. Tseytlin, "Intersecting M-branes as Four-Dimensional Black Holes", *Preprint* PUPT-1616, Imperial/TP/95-96/41, hep-th/9604166; *Nucl. Phys.* **475**, 164 (1996).

[22] H. Lü, C.N. Pope, and K.S.Stelle, "Weyl Group Invariance and p-brane Multiplets", *Nucl. Phys.* **476**, 89 (1996).

[23] H. Lü, C.N. Pope, and K.S.Stelle, "Vertical Versus Diagonal Reduction for p-Branes", *Preprint* hep-th/9605082.

[24] H. Lü, C.N. Pope, and K.W. Xu, "Liouville and Toda solitons im M-theory", *Preprint* hep-th/9604058.

[25] N. Khvengia, Z. Khvengia, H. Lü, C.N. Pope, "Intersecting M-Branes and Bound States" *Preprint*, *Preprint* hep-th/9605082.

[26] C.G. Callan, Jr. and J. M. Maldacena, "*D*-Brane Approach to Black Hole Quantum Mechanics", *Preprint* PUPT-1591, hep-th/9602043.

[27] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, "Microscopic Origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking Entropy", *Preprint* hep-th/9601029.

[28] A.A. Tseytlin, *Preprint* hep-th/9601177.

[29] M. Cvetic and C.M. Hull, *Preprint* hep-th/9606193.

[30] E. Bergshoeff, R. Kallosh and T. Ortin, "Stationary Axion/Dilaton Solutions and Supersymmetry", *Preprint* hep-th/9605059.

[31] G. Clément and D.V. Gal'tsov, "Stationary BPS solutions to dilaton-axion gravity" *Preprint* GCR-96/07/02 DTP-MSU/96-11, hep-th/9607043.

[32] A. Volovich, "Three-block p-branes in various dimensions", *Preprint* hep-th/9608095.

[33] I.Ya. Aref'eva, K. Viswanathan and I.V. Volovich, "p-Brane Solutions in Diverse Dimensions", *Preprint* hep-th/9609225.

[34] S.D. Majumdar, *Phys. Rev.* **72**, 930 (1947);
A. Papapetrou, *Proc. R. Irish Acad.* **A51**, 191 (1947);
J. Hartle and S. Hawking, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **26**, 87 (1972).

[35] V.A. Berezin, G. Domenech, M.L. Levinas, C.O. Lousto and N.D. Umerez, *Gen. Relativ. Grav.* **21**, 1177 (1989).

[36] M. Szydłowski, *Acta Cosmologica* **18**, 85 (1992).

[37] M. Rainer and A. Zhuk, "Tensor-multiscalar theories for multidimensional cosmology", *Preprint* of Potsdam University, FUB-HEP/96-3, 1996 (to appear in *Phys. Rev. D*).

[38] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, "Multidimensional Gravity with Einstein Internal spaces", preprint RGS-96-003, hep-th/9612054; *Gravitational and Cosmology* **2** No 3 (7), 177 (1996).

[39] V.D. Ivashchuk, V.N. Melnikov, *Phys. Lett.* **A135**, 465 (1989).

[40] V.D. Ivashchuk, V.N. Melnikov and A. I. Zhuk, *Nuovo Cimento* **B104**, 575 (1989).

[41] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, "Extremal Dilatonic Black Holes in String-like Model with Cosmological Term", *Preprint* RGS-96-002; hep-th/9603107; *Phys. Lett.* **B 384**, 58 (1996).

[42] G.W. Gibbons and S.W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev.* **D 15**, 2752 (1977).

[43] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **3**, No 4, 795 (1994);
V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, *Gravitation and Cosmology* **1**, No 3, 204 (1995);
V.R. Gavrilov, V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, *J. Math. Phys* **36**, 5829 (1995);
V.N. Melnikov, "Multidimensional Cosmology and Gravitation", *Preprint* CBPF, Rio de Janeiro, 1995, 210p.